Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 5:17 PM
To: Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Cc: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Stevens

Pls let me know if stevens is avail for few min in my office next wk. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 2:43 PM
To: Frye; Ivy J (DOA); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: Cathy giessel

Excellent idea to have the minority report. Thanks for suggesting that to her.

No idea what the majority is coming up with, but hopefully they're keeping in mind budget realities in AK's near future!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 07 Oct 2007 11:09:13

To:"Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

Cc:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Cathy giessel

Hey kris,

I had brkfst w cathy this morning on my way to the airport. She said she's going to try and get ahold of you re: concerns w the recc that may come out of the hlth council. I suggested those in line w gov palin write a minority report to also submit to gov palin by the jan 1 deadline. That way, the administration can implement reccs from both vantage pts, or even those just from the minority to send a msg that the council did not follow through w what was asked of them. Just wanted to give you a heads up on something coming your way relatively soon.

Take care,

Ivy

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [sr.parnell@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 2:30 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Adn today

Thank you for keeping me in the loop.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Cc: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Davis, Marcia R (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Anders, Bruce F (DNR); Leschper, Beth (GOV); PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 13:34:17 2007

Subject: Re: Adn today

Very good. Thank you Joe (and Pat - I so appreciate your good info and reminders in this morning's email).

It seems almost foreign to R and D leggies that we're sincere in our offer and desire to work together on this. There's obvious paranoia in the bodies that results in this obstructing wall built of assumptions that we "must be up to somethin'... surely they can't be willing to work together and maybe even compromise on this... that's not the way politics work...".

I'm truly anxious to hear if they've got more/better info than we have to prove their way is better (whatever their way turns out to be), though I'm doubting that will come from the R's if they've really re-hired Pedro to come testify against ACES, and it can't come from the D's unless they can plug in numbers that prove our good ol' gross idea needs to be revisited.

Thanks guys. I appreciate you and look forward to our town hall meetings.

Really, none of us (leggies included) have anything to gain w/politics as usual in this oil valuation system. AK has everything to lose, in fact, if we let leggies pull us that route. Non-partisan, factual, confident presention of our information will lead us to AK's success. Getting them to believe that is the challenge.

----- Original Message -----

From: Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Davis, Marcia R (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Anders, Bruce F (DNR); Leschper, Beth (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 10:05:55 2007

Subject: Re: Adn today

Governor,

I know its frustrating to have critics/detractors out there right now, but there will come a point where they have to put up or shut up. For those who want to do nothing, we have some fairly compelling examples to demonstrate why ACES is needed.

For those who want to do something different, all we can do is express our commitment to working with the willing, so long as we don't undermine the basic principles. Eventually, Les and Harry will have to provide their alternative...and it sounds like something we looked at this summer. If that's the case, then we will get a chance to identify the flaw we uncovered.

For what its worth, I detect a willingness among many of the Dems to work with us. What we need to do is make sure we appear (and are) willing to work with them.

On the audit front, we have a three part plan to address these challenges. Its people, information, and very clear rules.

We need the highly skilled auditors with company experience to help us find the needles in the haystack. However, auditors are only as good as the information they have to work with. Currently, we are getting cost data after the moneys been spent. We need to change that. We want to get forward-looking data, current information reported in real-time and in a meaningful format, and we want their returns to be amended as soon as they know its necessary.

And finally, there are the rules on deductions. We are going to define what is in rather than what is supposed to be out. Its hard to articulate how big a change this is, but it is critical to heading off disputes before they arise. This includes some of the explicit exclusions that have been identified and included in the bill.

I know this is a long explanation, and it is necessary for testimony purposes, but the sound bite is that we have a 3 part plan...people, information, and rules.

Joe

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 08:36:00 2007

Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 2:30 PM
To: 'govpalin@alaska.gov'
Subject: Re: Adn today

Thank you for keeping me in the loop.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Cc: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Davis, Marcia R (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Anders, Bruce F (DNR); Leschper, Beth (GOV); PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 13:34:17 2007

Subject: Re: Adn today

Very good. Thank you Joe (and Pat - I so appreciate your good info and reminders in this morning's email).

It seems almost foreign to R and D leggies that we're sincere in our offer and desire to work together on this. There's obvious paranoia in the bodies that results in this obstructing wall built of assumptions that we "must be up to somethin'... surely they can't be willing to work together and maybe even compromise on this... that's not the way politics work...".

I'm truly anxious to hear if they've got more/better info than we have to prove their way is better (whatever their way turns out to be), though I'm doubting that will come from the R's if they've really re-hired Pedro to come testify against ACES, and it can't come from the D's unless they can plug in numbers that prove our good ol' gross idea needs to be revisited.

Thanks guys. I appreciate you and look forward to our town hall meetings.

Really, none of us (leggies included) have anything to gain w/politics as usual in this oil valuation system. AK has everything to lose, in fact, if we let leggies pull us that route. Non-partisan, factual, confident presention of our information will lead us to AK's success. Getting them to believe that is the challenge.

----- Original Message -----

From: Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Davis, Marcia R (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Anders, Bruce F (DNR); Leschper, Beth (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 10:05:55 2007

Subject: Re: Adn today

Governor,

I know its frustrating to have critics/detractors out there right now, but there will come a point where they have to put up or shut up. For those who want to do nothing, we have some fairly compelling examples to demonstrate why ACES is needed.

For those who want to do something different, all we can do is express our commitment to working with the willing, so long as we don't undermine the basic principles. Eventually, Les and Harry will have to provide their alternative...and it sounds like something we looked at this summer. If that's the case, then we will get a chance to identify the flaw we uncovered.

For what its worth, I detect a willingness among many of the Dems to work with us. What we need to do is make sure we appear (and are) willing to work with them.

On the audit front, we have a three part plan to address these challenges. Its people, information, and very clear rules.

We need the highly skilled auditors with company experience to help us find the needles in the haystack. However, auditors are only as good as the information they have to work with. Currently, we are getting cost data after the moneys been spent. We need to change that. We want to get forward-looking data, current information reported in real-time and in a meaningful format, and we want their returns to be amended as soon as they know its necessary.

And finally, there are the rules on deductions. We are going to define what is in rather than what is supposed to be out. Its hard to articulate how big a change this is, but it is critical to heading off disputes before they arise. This includes some of the explicit exclusions that have been identified and included in the bill.

I know this is a long explanation, and it is necessary for testimony purposes, but the sound bite is that we have a 3 part plan...people, information, and rules.

Joe

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 08:36:00 2007

Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 2:07 PM
To: MLester@adn.com
Subject: Re: Photo

Next time put your little one in the pic, too! Nothing is more beautiful than a toddler.

Keep up the great work.

----- Original Message -----

From: Lester, Marc <MLester@adn.com>

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Fri Oct 05 09:17:30 2007

Subject: RE: Photo

Thanks so much, Governor. That was a scene my 16-month-old and I came across on a hike on my day off (actually I did the hiking, while he kicks me in the back and pokes my head). The Turnagain Arm Trail is one of our special places. I'm glad you like the photo and really appreciate your taking the time to send me a note. I hope to get a chance to see it hanging in your offices sometime.

Thanks again,

Marc Lester

Staff photographer

Anchorage Daily News

-----Original Message-----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [mailto:govpalin@alaska.gov]

Sent: Thu 10/4/2007 1:11 PM

To: Lester, Marc

Cc:

Subject: Photo

Hi Marc:

Your fall colors photo that ran yesterday is the prettiest autumn picture I've ever seen.

We're ordering a copy for the Anchorage office.

You are talented - your talent captured that most beautiful scene.

Thank you!

Sarah Palin

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 1:34 PM
To: Balash; Joseph R (GOV)
Cc: Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); Davis; Marcia R (DOR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Martha K (DNR); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Anders; Bruce F (DNR); Leschper; Beth (GOV); PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Adn today

Very good. Thank you Joe (and Pat - I so appreciate your good info and reminders in this morning's email).

It seems almost foreign to R and D leggies that we're sincere in our offer and desire to work together on this. There's obvious paranoia in the bodies that results in this obstructing wall built of assumptions that we "must be up to somethin'... surely they can't be willing to work together and maybe even compromise on this... that's not the way politics work...".

I'm truly anxious to hear if they've got more/better info than we have to prove their way is better (whatever their way turns out to be), though I'm doubting that will come from the R's if they've really re-hired Pedro to come testify against ACES, and it can't come from the D's unless they can plug in numbers that prove our good ol' gross idea needs to be revisited.

Thanks guys. I appreciate you and look forward to our town hall meetings.

Really, none of us (leggies included) have anything to gain w/politics as usual in this oil valuation system. AK has everything to lose, in fact, if we let leggies pull us that route. Non-partisan, factual, confident presention of our information will lead us to AK's success. Getting them to believe that is the challenge.

----- Original Message -----

From: Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Davis, Marcia R (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Anders, Bruce F (DNR); Leschper, Beth (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 10:05:55 2007

Subject: Re: Adn today

Governor,

I know its frustrating to have critics/detractors out there right now, but there will come

a point where they have to put up or shut up. For those who want to do nothing, we have some fairly compelling examples to demonstrate why ACES is needed.

For those who want to do something different, all we can do is express our commitment to working with the willing, so long as we don't undermine the basic principles. Eventually, Les and Harry will have to provide their alternative...and it sounds like something we looked at this summer. If that's the case, then we will get a chance to identify the flaw we uncovered.

For what its worth, I detect a willingness among many of the Dems to work with us. What we need to do is make sure we appear (and are) willing to work with them.

On the audit front, we have a three part plan to address these challenges. Its people, information, and very clear rules.

We need the highly skilled auditors with company experience to help us find the needles in the haystack. However, auditors are only as good as the information they have to work with. Currently, we are getting cost data after the moneys been spent. We need to change that. We want to get forward-looking data, current information reported in real-time and in a meaningful format, and we want their returns to be amended as soon as they know its necessary.

And finally, there are the rules on deductions. We are going to define what is in rather than what is supposed to be out. Its hard to articulate how big a change this is, but it is critical to heading off disputes before they arise. This includes some of the explicit exclusions that have been identified and included in the bill.

I know this is a long explanation, and it is necessary for testimony purposes, but the sound bite is that we have a 3 part plan...people, information, and rules.

Joe

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 08:36:00 2007

Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: Balash, Joseph R (GOV) [joe.balash@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 10:06 AM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Cc: Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); Davis; Marcia R (DOR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Anders; Bruce F (DNR); Leschper; Beth (GOV)
Subject: Re: Adn today

Governor,

I know its frustrating to have critics/detractors out there right now, but there will come a point where they have to put up or shut up. For those who want to do nothing, we have some fairly compelling examples to demonstrate why ACES is needed.

For those who want to do something different, all we can do is express our commitment to working with the willing, so long as we don't undermine the basic principles. Eventually, Les and Harry will have to provide their alternative...and it sounds like something we looked at this summer. If that's the case, then we will get a chance to identify the flaw we uncovered.

For what its worth, I detect a willingness among many of the Dems to work with us. What we need to do is make sure we appear (and are) willing to work with them.

On the audit front, we have a three part plan to address these challenges. Its people, information, and very clear rules.

We need the highly skilled auditors with company experience to help us find the needles in the haystack. However, auditors are only as good as the information they have to work with. Currently, we are getting cost data after the moneys been spent. We need to change that. We want to get forward-looking data, current information reported in real-time and in a meaningful format, and we want their returns to be amended as soon as they know its necessary.

And finally, there are the rules on deductions. We are going to define what is in rather than what is supposed to be out. Its hard to articulate how big a change this is, but it is critical to heading off disputes before they arise. This includes some of the explicit exclusions that have been identified and included in the bill.

I know this is a long explanation, and it is necessary for testimony purposes, but the sound bite is that we have a 3 part plan...people, information, and rules.

Joe

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 08:36:00 2007

Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR) [patrick.galvin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 9:35 AM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Anders; Bruce F (DNR)
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV)
Subject: RE: Adn today

Governor,

Both Marcia and Joe attended the event; I was returning from DC yesterday. Marcia is a constituent of these guys, and has attend similar events in the past. I haven't gotten a de-brief yet, just an aside contained in a message from Joe that the event was primarily gross vs. net, and the Gara/Crawford message that there needed to be political compromise (mostly from us) for a solution to emerge.

I was also troubled by the Marcia quote from article, and the implication that ACES just accepts the failings inherent in a net tax. I would guess the paper's paraphrase cut out Marcia's likely description of the ACES call for more auditors and more information to make a net workable. But this is the problem with a complex issue. This event was designed to rally the troops for a gross tax, and that was clearly the primary message. The article was actually less tilted than I expected, and does demonstrate the forces on both sides of us. A week ago the headlines said we were taking too much, this week we're taking too little.

The gross guys are not providing any data to support their plan. The bottom line is they don't have data. Just the belief that gross should be better, and that we can have a gross and include "investment credits or incentives to the oil producers to work parts of those fields where it is more difficult now to extract oil." This strategy sounds good, it was one we believed in just a few months ago, but we hit real barriers to making this succeed. Our challenge is to quickly get that information out in the public discussion, so the limitations of the gross strategy can be understood. In private meetings with these legislators, it is clear they don't understand the oil economics as well as we may have thought, and they don't have any ideas that we didn't test already. The question will be whether they can change their position in the face of unbiased information in the interests of the state, or if they will stick to their rhetoric for political purposes.

We're in the early stages of implementing our communication and outreach plan. The community briefings will provide a forum to get our message out in a similar setting to yesterday's event. In addition to the gross vs. net issue, where the economists will describe why the numbers led us away from a gross, we will also cover the state's audit and information needs, the government share issue, and closing the loopholes in PPT (i.e. corrosion).

Our most valuable weapon is the unity between DOR, DNR, and the Governor's office. We got here together, and I know that each of the team members supports the direction we're heading. We need to let the public see that. It will be demonstrated at the community briefings, and throughout the session. Just their presence sends a powerful message. Tom and Marty have committed to help in whatever way they can, and Antony and his commercial folks continue to work closely with our economists to develop the materials we will be using over the next two months. This continues to be a true team effort.

-Pat

-----Original Message-----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 8:36 AM

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 8:40 AM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Leschper; Beth (GOV)
Subject: Fw: Adn today

Just fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Galvin, Patrick S (DOR); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Martha K (DNR); Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)

Sent: Sun Oct 07 08:36:00 2007

Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 8:36 AM
To: Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Martha K (DNR); Anders; Bruce F (DNR)
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV)
Subject: Adn today

I'm so thankful that Marcia attended the town hall meeting yesterday - if I'd have been back earlier from Juneau I'd have attended. Hopefully it went well.

Marcia's comments today: (paraprhased) "(Auditing is a problem, not enough of them).... neverless the governor feels net will work..."

This makes me nervous because it sure sounds like the dept has warned me of the problems with auditing (and other obvious problems), yet I'm insisting net will work anyway. We have to be sensitive to things like this because I'm still putting all my trust that net will work in the experts who are telling me that despite all the problems, it's still better than gross.

I'm not insisting on anything in aces except closing ppt's loopholes and work with legislators who may end up having more information that we've used so we can plug in to allow aces to lean closer to gross as many of us supported from Day One.

It's going to be important for Anthony Scott and others to be out there providing their proof on net, because we can't put words in my mouth without me being able to back up the sentiments with proof that "I" know that every detail of aces is the be-all, end-all to get AK's fair share.

I'll keep saying I have faith in the team and want the numbers to speak for themselves - it was the numbers presentation those last few days before rolling aces that proved to me it should be better than pure gross... but I'm nervous to hear any characterization that there's no wiggle room in aces to make it better, and that despite obvious continued problems with aces roll-out that I "feel" it can work anyway.

Thanks and I look forward to the upsoming opportunities to let more Alaskans know that there's science behind this - it's certainly not something I'm feeling and/or insisting on.

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 8:10 AM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Leschper; Beth (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Adn

Sharon- the correction Kizzia should make in his stories today is that Ken Jacobus, Wasilla City Attorney (at the time), was hired by the Wasilla City Council to represent the city - it's the council's job to interview, hire and fire the city's attorney and city clerk.

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]

Sent: Sunday, October 07, 2007 8:10 PM

To: Sarah Palin

Subject: Good Newsweek article! Congrats!